

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/806,596	BONGRATZ, HOWARD W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David L. Sorkin	1723	

All Participants:

(1) David L. Sorkin.

(2) Mathew R. P. Perrone, Jr..

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2006

Time: 10:30 AM EDT

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

not discussed

Claims discussed:

2, 4-9 and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

not discussed

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Sorkin pointed out that there were several duplicate limitations. An examiner's amendment deleting a duplicate limitation from claim 21 and canceling claims 2, 4 and 5 was agreed to.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)